

**REMARKS**

The Examiner allowed claims 26-37 and 40-59 in the First Office Action.


Claims 1-5, 10 and 11 were rejected under Section 112. These claims have been cancelled thereby rendering the Section 112 rejection moot. The remaining claims are believed to be in condition for allowance.

The remaining claims were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting. A terminal disclaimer is filed concurrently and the remaining claims are now believed to be in condition for allowance, which is requested.

If any other issue regarding the allowability of any of the pending claims in the present application could be readily resolved, or if other action could be taken to further advance this application such as an Examiner's amendment, or if the Examiner should have any questions regarding the present amendment, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner please telephone Applicant's undersigned attorney in this regard.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 23, 2005



Lawrence E. Evans  
Reg. No. 29,531  
Blackwell Sanders Peper Martin LLP  
720 Olive Street, Suite 2400  
St. Louis, MO 63101  
314-345-6000  
ATTORNEYS FOR APPLICANT